INTRODUCED	BY:_	Gary	Grant
PROPOSED NA	n.	85-5	666

ORDINANCE NO. 17428

AN ORDINANCE establishing fees for King County department of public works, surface water management division's review and approval of drainage plans or establishment of drainage conditions for subdivisions and planned unit developments, short subdivisions, commercial building, residential building, filling and grading, rezone, unclassified, shoreline and right-of-way permits; establishing the method of payment for these fees; amending Ordinance 6602, Section 3, and K.C.C. 20.50.080; and adding a new section.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. Ordinance No. 6602, Section 3, and K.C.C.
20.50.080 are hereby amended as follows:

- A. Fees shall be established by the King County council to reasonably compensate the county for costs incurred in the surface water management division's review and approval of drainage plans or establishment of drainage conditions for subdivisions and planned unit developments, short subdivisions, commercial building, residential building, filling and grading, rezone, unclassified, shoreline and right-of-way permits, and residential condominium site plan pursuant to the provisions of K.C.C. 20.50. These fees are in addition to any and all other county fees.
- B. Fees established under this section shall be revised annually or whenever deemed necessary by the King County council.
- C. The following fees shall apply from the effective date of this section until revised by the King County council. ((Preliminary-fees-provide-for-administrations;-field-review;-and engineer-costs;-fixed-fees-provide-for-all-review-and-approval costs;-and-hourly-fees-are-charged-for-plan-and-profile-or review-and-approval;))

1		Fixed Fee	Hourly Fee
2	Plats/PUD's		
3	Preliminary	((\$535)) \$ 830	\$ 0
4	Plan & Profile	((\$714)) \$860	((\$26)) \$34
5			
6	Short Plats		
7	Initial	((\$395)) \$ 571	\$ 0
8	Plan & Profile	((\$330)) \$ 424	((\$26)) <u>\$34</u>
9			
10	Commercials		
11	Plan & Profile	((\$440)) \$ 508	((\$26)) \$34
12			
13	Filling and Grading		
14	Plan & Profile	((\$679)) \$ 809	((\$26)) \$34
15			
16	Field Checks ((Omly))	((\$310)) \$339	\$0
17		\$0	((\$26)) \$34
18		·	
· 19	Other Permits		
20	Residential	((\$-55)) \$ 67	\$ 0
21		((\$-68)) \$107	\$ 0
22	Unclassified	((\$145)) \$152	\$0
23	Right-of-Way	((\$-45)) \$ 80	\$ 0
24	Rezone	((\$-95)) \$ 54	\$ 0
25	Shoreline Condominium	(()	
26	Conceptual Residential Condominium	\$ 150	\$ 0
27	Binding Site Plan	·	
28	Fixed fees provide for administrat		
	initial engineering review((+-h)).		
29	engineering review and approval of	plan and profiles	s and
30	revisions.		•
31			

- D. All applications for permits specified in this section received for the first time by the surface water management division on or after the effective date of this section shall be subject to the fees established by the King County council under K.C.C. 20.50.080. All permits covered by this section received by the surface water management division prior to the effective date of this section shall be subject to the fees based upon those remaining hours of review necessary to process the drainage plans or to establish drainage conditions after the effective date of this section, but not upon those hours of review completed prior to the effective date of this section.
- E. Fees shall be collected in accordance with procedures developed pursuant to K.C.C. 2.98.
- F. All fees collected will be credited to the River Improvement Fund.
- G. Any application which is deactivated by the surface water management division subsequent to the effective date of this section will be charged at the hourly fee rate for review time spent prior to deactivation. This fee must be paid before the application can be resubmitted for review.
- H. All reviews of Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plans will be charged at the hourly fee rate as part of the detailed drainage plan review.
- I. Surface water management division's review of any revisions to drainage plans approved by the surface water management division prior to the effective date of this section will be charged the hourly fee rate.
- J. No separate fees will be charged for a planned unit development that is being reviewed concurrently with a subdivision application for the same parcel of property.

Review of commercial building permit applications for 1 which drainage plans were approved prior to the effective date of 2 this section as a part of another permit approval action will be 3 charged at the hourly fee rate. 5 Provided that: The Surface Water Management Division shall adhere to the 10 6 Week Service level schedule for permits outlined in the November 7 1, 1985 memorandum, attached as Exhibit A, with the exception 8 that the service level for preliminary plats shall be as 9 preliminary comments and participation in the Day 14, 10 BALD technical meeting and final comments and conditions 11 submitted to BALD for inclusion in the Preliminary Report mailed 12 13 on Day 42. NEW SECTION. SECTION 13. The effective date of this 14 15 ordinance shall be January 1, 1986. INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 4th 16 17 day of November, 1985." 18 PASSED this 9th day of December · 19 KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON 20 21 22 23 ATTEST: 24 25 Council 26 APPROVED this 13th day of December 27 28 29 30 31 32 12/2/85 33



King County Executive Randy Revelle Department of Public Works Donald J. LaBelle, Director

EXHIBIT A

November 1, 1985

TO: Donald J. LaBelle, Director

Joseph J. Simmler, Manager VIA:

Surface Water Management Di 🛭 🖓 Vion

FM: Steven C. Townsend, Manager,

Development Review Section

RE: 10 Week Service Level

This memo is to clarify the proposed 10 week service level.

Drainage plans will be approved within ten weeks of total SWM review time, not counting consultant redesign time. SWM review time will be calculated by the following method:

time spent during 1st review

- time spent during 1st resubmittal time spent during 2nd resubmittal

Drainage plans that are not submitted within 21 days, are resubmitted more than twice, or are significantly redesigned, will lose any existing SWM review time, ie: will start again at week zero.

NOTE: The 10 week service level applies to: plat, short plat, commercial, and grading drainage plans. Plats and short plats both have a preliminary SWM review stage, the ten week service level does not apply to this stage. The service level for these permits are: comments prepared by the hearing date and conditions set within four weeks, respectively.

PAGE 1 of 3

900 King County Administration Building 500 Fourth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 344-2517

Total SWM review time

Donald J. LaBelle November 1, 1985 Page Two

The following levels of service will apply:

Proposed Type of Permit

1985 Service Level Service Level

Preliminary Plats SWM comments prepared by

No committment the public hearing date

Drainage plans for plats, short Approved within 10 weeks 4 weeks to complete plats, commercials, and first review grading applications

1986

Reviewed within I week Field Changes/Revisions Reviewed within 1 w Approved within 7 weeks* No committment

Preliminary Short Plats Conditions set within 4 weeks No committment

Other Permits Reviewed within 4 weeks Approved within 10 weeks*.

No committment

*21 day/two resubmittals limitation applies, if applicable.

Resources will be assigned based on the following priority:

- Insure preliminary plat comments are written by the date of the public hearing.
- Maintain the 10 week service level.
- Haintain the 1 week/7 week service level for field changes/revisions.
- Insure preliminary plat comments are written two weeks before the public hearing.
- Maintain the 4 week service level for preliminary short plats.
- 6. Maintain the 4 week/10 week service level for other permits.
- 7. Insure preliminary plat field reports are drafted before the date of the Technical Advisory Committee meeting.
- Meet EIS, MPD etc. deadlines.

SCT:per

PAGE 2 of 3

۱۰ ۴۰۱	. •																	•	
													Name File	CALENDAR	Area	Hearing Date	Administrative Timetable For Land Use Hearings		
	0	0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	0	0	0	0		, 0 0 0	0 0 0			1 P1	osing ats to ke EIS	Public	Works	64 63 62
		0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0		•	6 7 No 8 Fi	tebool eld Tr	Agenda us Compl rip Field		59 58 57 56 55
	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	•	->	13 8	end Po	eting/i osting i ceting/i	Planning Hotice	52 51 50 49 48
0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			20 21 22 P	repare	eting/a send to par	applicant I legal per	45 44 43 42 41
0 0,0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			27 D)istrib	l Plan s outs Rev 00' Noti	submitted vision ice	38 37 36 35 34
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	. 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0		\rightarrow	33 34 I 35 F 36 37	ast Da Report,	y for N Draft	<u>lotices</u> Typing	31 30 29 28 27
0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0		,	43 F	pating	Final Affida er noti	Typing wit Due loe Pub'd	24 23 22 21 20
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0			47 48 49 50 r 51	istril	oution,	Report	17 16 15 14 13
0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0			54 55 56 57 58			•	10 9 8 7 6
0000	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			61 62 63 P 64 P	repare ublic	for he	earing	3 2 1 0